arXiv:1412.2406v2 [math.GT] 23 Feb 2016

THE TURAEV AND THURSTON NORMS

STEFAN FRIEDL, DANIEL S. SILVER, AND SUSAN G. WILLIAMS

Abstract. In 1986, W. Thurston introduced a (possibly degenerate) norm on the first cohomology group of a 3-manifold. Inspired by this definition, Turaev introduced in 2002 a analogous norm on the first cohomology group of a finite 2-complex. We show that if N is the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere, then the Thurston norm agrees with a suitable variation of Turaev's norm defined on any 2-skeleton of N.

1. Introduction

In 1986, W. Thurston [Th86] introduced a seminorm for 3-manifolds N with empty or toroidal boundary. It is a function $x_N\colon H^1(N;\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ which measures the complexity of surfaces that are dual to cohomology classes. We adopt the custom of referring to x_N as the *Thurston norm*. It plays a central role in 3-manifold topology and we recall its definition in Section 2.1, where we will also review several of its key properties.

Later, in 2002, V. Turaev [Tu02] introduced an analogously defined seminorm for 2-complexes. For any finite 2-complex X with suitably defined boundary ∂X, V. Turaev defined $t_X\colon H^1(X,\partial X;\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ using complexities of dual 1-complexes. Inspired by work of C. McMullen [Mc02], V. Turaev gave lower bounds for t_X in terms of the multivariable Alexander polynomial whenever the boundary of X is empty. The precise definition of ∂X will be recalled in Section 2.2. For the purpose of the introduction it suffices to know that if N is a compact triangulated 3-manifold, then the 2-skeleton $N^{(2)}$ is a finite 2-complex with empty boundary.

A homotopy equivalence induces a canonical isomorphism of homology and cohomology groups which we use to identify the groups. Examples given in [Tu02, p. 143] show that t_X is *not* invariant under homotopy. We therefore introduce the following variation: For any finite 2-complex X with empty boundary, we define the *Turaev complexity function* as follows. If $\phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X),\mathbb{Q})$, then

$$\overline{t}_X(\phi) := \inf\left\{ t_Y(\phi\circ f) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} Y \text{ is a finite 2-complex with } \partial Y = \emptyset \text{ and} \\ f\colon \pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(X) \text{ is an isomorphism} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Clearly $\overline{t}_X$ depends only on the fundamental group of X. Since the minimum of two norms need not satisfy the triangle inequality, the Turaev function is not a seminorm, as we will see later in Proposition 4.2.

Date: February 24, 2016.

For any 3-manifold N, we further define

$$\overline{t}_N(\phi) := \overline{t}_{N^{(2)}}(\phi),$$

where $N^{(2)}$ is the 2-skeleton of a triangulation of N. It is clear from the definition of $\overline{t}$ that $\overline{t}_N$ does not depend on the choice of a triangulation.

Given a 3-manifold N, it is natural to compare x_N and $\overline{t}_N$ on $H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$. In general, they do not agree. Indeed in Section 4.1 we will see that there exist many examples of closed 3-manifolds N and classes $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\overline{t}_N(\phi) > x_N(\phi)$. The underlying reason is quite obvious: the Thurston norm is defined using complexities of surfaces, whereas the Turaev function is defined using complexities of graphs. However, the complexity of a closed surface is lower by at least one than the complexity of any underlying 1-skeleton.

It is therefore reasonable to restrict ourselves to the class of 3-manifolds where Thurston norm-minimizing surfaces can always be chosen to have no closed component. In Lemma 4.5 we will see that if $N = \Sigma^3 \setminus \nu L$ is the exterior of a of a link L in a rational homology sphere Σ, then N has this property. For simplicity of exposition we henceforth restrict ourselves to this type of 3-manifolds.

Using explicit and elementary constructions of 2-complexes, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let N be the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere. Then*

$$\overline{t}_N(\phi) \leq x_N(\phi), \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q}).$$

It is natural to ask whether the extra freedom provided by working with 2-complexes instead of 3-manifolds allows us to get lower complexities. Our main theorem says that this is not the case, at least if we restrict ourselves to irreducible link exteriors. (Note that it follows from the definitions and the Schönflies Theorem that the exterior of a link L in S^3 is irreducible if and only if L is non-split.)

Theorem 1.2. *Let N be the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere. If N is irreducible, then*

$$\overline{t}_N(\phi) = x_N(\phi), \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q}).$$

We will prove the inequality $\overline{t}_X(\phi) \geq x_N(\phi)$ by studying the Alexander norms of finite covers of X and N, and by applying the recent results of I. Agol [Ag08, Ag13], D. Wise [Wi09, Wi12a, Wi12b], P. Przytycki–D. Wise [PW14, PW12] and Y. Liu [Li13]. We do not know of an elementary proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2 fits into a long sequence of results showing that minimal-genus Seifert surfaces and Thurston norm-minimizing surfaces are 'robust' in the sense that they 'stay minimal' even if one relaxes some conditions. Examples of this phenomenon have been found by many authors, see for example [Ga83, Ga87, Kr99, FV14, Na14, FSW13].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of the Thurston and Turaev norms, and we introduce the Turaev complexity function. In

Section 3 we discuss the Alexander norm for 3-manifolds and 2-complexes, and we recall how they give lower bounds on the Thurston norm and Turaev complexity function, respectively. In Section 4.1, we first show that the Turaev complexity function of the 2-skeleton can be greater than the corresponding Thurston norm. We then show in Section 4.2 that the Thurston norm of any irreducible 3-manifold with non-trivial toroidal boundary is detected by the Alexander norm of an appropriate finite cover. Finally, in Section 4.3 we put everything together to prove Theorem 1.2.

Conventions. All 3-manifolds are compact, orientable and connected, and all 2-complexes are connected, unless it says specifically otherwise.

Acknowledgments. The first author gratefully acknowledges the support provided by the SFB 1085 'Higher Invariants' at the Universität Regensburg, funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). The second and third authors thank the Simons Foundation for its support. We wish to thank the referee for carefully reading our paper and for pointing out a well-hidden mistake in an argument.

2. The definition of the Thurston norm and the Turaev norm

2.1. **The Thurston norm and fibered classes.** Let N be a 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary. The *Thurston norm* of a class $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ is defined as

$$x_N(\phi) = \min\{\chi_-(\Sigma) \,|\, \Sigma \subset N \text{ properly embedded surface dual to } \phi\}.$$

Here, $\chi_-(\Sigma)$ is the complexity of a surface Σ with connected components $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_k$, given by

$$\chi_-(\Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max\{-\chi(\Sigma_i), 0\}.$$

Thurston [Th86] showed that x_N defines a (possibly degenerate) norm on $H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$. Note that any norm on $H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ extends uniquely to a norm on $H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$, which we denote by the same symbol.

We say that a class $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$ is *fibered* if there exists a fibration $p\colon N \to S^1$ such that ϕ lies in the pull-back of $H^1(S^1;\mathbb{Q})$ under p. By [Ti70], a class $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$ is fibered if and only if it can be represented by a non-degenerate closed 1-form.

Thurston [Th86] showed the Thurston norm ball

$$\{\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q}) \,|\, x_N(\phi) \leq 1\}$$

is a polyhedron. This implies that if C is a cone on a face of the polyhedron, then the restriction of x_N to C is a linear function. To put differently, for any $\alpha, \beta \in C$ and non-negative $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$, the linear combination $r\alpha + s\beta$ also lies in C, and $x_N(r\alpha + s\beta) = r x_N(\alpha) + s x_N(\beta)$.

Thurston [Th86] also showed that any fibered class lies in the open cone on a top-dimensional face of the Thurston norm ball. Furthermore, any other class in that open cone is also fibered. Consequently, the set of fibered classes is the union of open

cones on top-dimensional faces of the Thurston norm ball. We will refer to these cones as the *fibered cones of* N. A class $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$ in the closure of a fibered cone is *quasi-fibered*.

2.2. **The Turaev norm and the Turaev complexity function for 2–complexes.** As in [Tu02], a *finite 2–complex* is the underlying topological space of a finite connected 2-dimensional CW-complex such that each point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to the cone over a finite graph. Examples of finite 2-complexes are given by compact surfaces (see [Tu02, p. 138]), 2-skeletons of finite simplicial spaces, and the products of graphs with a closed interval.

The *interior* of X, denoted $\operatorname{Int} X$, is the set of points in X that have neighborhoods homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$. Finally the boundary ∂X of X is the closure in X of the set of all points of $X \setminus \operatorname{Int} X$ that have open neighborhoods in X homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ or to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Note that ∂X is a graph contained in the 1-skeleton of the CW-decomposition of X. For example, if X is a compact surface, then ∂X is precisely the boundary of X in the usual sense.

Following Turaev [Tu02], we say that a graph Γ in a finite 2-complex is *regular* if $\Gamma \subset X \setminus \partial X$ and if there exists a closed neighborhood in $X \setminus \partial X$ homeomorphic to $\Gamma \times [-1,1]$ so that $\Gamma = \Gamma \times 0$. A *coorientation* for a regular graph Γ with components $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$ is the choice of a component of $\Gamma_i \times [-1,1] \setminus \Gamma_i$, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. A cooriented regular graph $\Gamma \subset X$ canonically defines an element $\phi_\Gamma \in H^1(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z})$. Given any $\phi \in H^1(X, \partial X; \mathbb{Z})$, there exists a cooriented regular graph Γ with $\phi_\Gamma = \phi$. (We refer to [Tu02] for details.)

Let X be a finite 2-complex with $\partial X = \emptyset$, and let $\phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Z})$. The *Turaev norm* of ϕ is

$$t_X(\phi) := \min\{\chi_-(\Gamma) \,|\, \Gamma \subset X \text{ cooriented regular graph with } \phi_\Gamma = \phi\},$$

where $\chi_-(\Gamma)$ is the complexity of a graph Γ with connected components $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$, given by

$$\chi_-(\Gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} \max\{-\chi(\Gamma_i), 0\}.$$

Turaev [Tu02] showed that $t_X \colon H^1(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is a (possibly degenerate) norm, and, as in the previous section, t_X extends to a norm

$$t_X \colon H^1(X;\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}.$$

In Theorem 5.1 we will show that in general one has to allow disconnected graphs Γ to minimize the Turaev norm.

As we already mentioned in the introduction, Turaev [Tu02, p. 143] showed that t_X is in general not invariant under homotopy equivalence. (In fact Turaev showed that t_X is not even invariant under simple homotopy.) We therefore introduce a

variation of the Turaev norm: if X is a finite 2-complex with $\partial X = \emptyset$, then given $\phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X),\mathbb{Q})$ the *Turaev complexity function* of ϕ is

$$\overline{t}_X(\phi) := \inf\left\{ t_\Gamma(\phi\circ f) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}\Gamma \text{ is a finite 2-complex with } \partial\Gamma=\emptyset \text{ and}\\ f\colon \pi_1(\Gamma)\to\pi_1(X) \text{ is an isomorphism}\end{array}\right\}.$$

We make the following observations:

(1) It is clear that $\overline{t}_X$ is invariant under homotopy equivalence. In fact $\overline{t}_X$ depends only on the fundamental group of X.
(2) Since $\overline{t}_X$ is the infimum of continuous homogeneous functions (i.e., functions with $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$ for $\lambda > 0$), $\overline{t}_X$ is upper semi-continuous and homogeneous.
(3) The complexity function $\overline{t}_X$ is defined as the infimum of norms. Note that the minimum of two norms is in general no longer a norm. For example, the infimum of the two norms $a(x,y) := |x|$ and $b(x,y) := |y|$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ is not a norm. We will see in Proposition 4.2 that $\overline{t}_X(\phi)$ is, in general, not a norm.
(4) From the definition, it follows immediately that $\overline{t}_X(\phi) \le t_X(\phi)$, for any $\phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Q})$.
(5) For any finite 2-complex X, Turaev shows in [Tu02, Section 1.6] that t_X is algorithmically computable. We do not know whether this is also the case for the Turaev complexity function $\overline{t}_X$.

2.3. **An inequality between the Thurston norm and the Turaev complexity function.** The goal of this section is to prove the following inequality between the Thurston norm and the Turaev complexity function.

Proposition 2.1. *Let N be a 3-manifold and let $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$. If ϕ is dual to a properly embedded Thurston norm minimizing surface with r closed components, then*

$$\overline{t}_N(\phi) \le x_N(\phi) + r.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ and let $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Sigma_s$ be a surface dual to ϕ of minimal complexity such that $\Sigma_1,\dots,\Sigma_r$ are closed and $\Sigma_{r+1},\dots,\Sigma_s$ have nonempty boundary.

For $i = 1,\dots,r$ we pick an embedded graph $\Gamma_i \subset \Sigma_i$ with $\chi(\Gamma_i) = \chi(\Sigma_i) - 1$ and such that $\pi_1(\Gamma_i)$ surjects onto $\pi_1(\Sigma_i)$. Furthermore, for $i = r+1,\dots,s$ we pick an embedded graph $\Gamma_i \subset \Sigma_i$ with $\chi(\Gamma_i) = \chi(\Sigma_i)$ and such that $\pi_1(\Gamma_i)$ surjects onto $\pi_1(\Sigma_i)$.

Next we select pairwise disjoint product neighborhoods $\Sigma_1\times[-1,1],\dots,\Sigma_s\times[-1,1]$ such that the product orientations match the orientation of N. We equip

$$M := N \;\setminus\; \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \Sigma_i \times (-1,1)$$

with a triangulation such that each $\Gamma_i \times \{\pm 1\}$ is a subspace of $M^{(1)}$. Consider

$$Y := M^{(2)} \;\cup\; \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \Gamma_i \times (-1,1).$$

It is straightforward to see that Y is a finite 2-complex with $\partial Y = \emptyset$, and the inclusion map $Y \to N$ induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. By slight abuse of notation we denote the restriction of ϕ to Y again by ϕ.

For $i = 1, \dots, s$, we identify Γ_i with $\Gamma_i \times 0$. It is clear that $\Gamma := \Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_s$ is a regular graph on Y. Furthermore, with the obvious coorientation, we have $\phi_\Gamma = \phi$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{t}_N(\phi) \le t_Y(\phi) \le \chi_-(\Gamma) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \max\{-\chi(\Gamma_i), 0\} + \sum_{i=r+1}^{s} \max\{-\chi(\Gamma_i), 0\} \\ &\le \sum_{i=1}^{r} \max\{-\chi(\Sigma_i) + 1, 0\} + \sum_{i=r+1}^{s} \max\{-\chi(\Sigma_i), 0\} \\ &\le \chi_-(\Sigma) + r \\ &= x_N(\phi) + r. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 1.1. *Let N be the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere. Then for any $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Q})$, we have*

$$\overline{t}_N(\phi) \le x_N(\phi).$$

Proof. Let N be the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere. We write $X = N^{(2)}$. Since $\overline{t}$ and x_N are homogeneous, it suffices to show that $\overline{t}_X(\phi) \le x_N(\phi)$ for every $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$. Assume that $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$. By Lemma 4.5 (see Section 4.1) there exists a Thurston norm-minimizing surface dual to ϕ such that each component has nonempty boundary. The desired inequality follows immediately from Proposition 2.1. □

3. Lower bounds on the norms coming from Alexander polynomials

3.1. **The Alexander polynomial.** Let X be a compact CW-complex, and let $\varphi\colon H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \to H$ be a homomorphism onto a free abelian group. We denote by $\tilde{X}^\varphi$ the cover of X corresponding to $\varphi\colon \pi_1(X) \to H_1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \to H$. The group H is the deck transformation group of $\tilde{X}^\varphi \to X$, and it acts on $H_1(\tilde{X}^\varphi; \mathbb{Z})$. Thus we can view $H_1(\tilde{X}^\varphi; \mathbb{Z})$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[H]$-module. Since $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ is a Noetherian ring, it follows that $H_1(\tilde{X}^\varphi; \mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely presented $\mathbb{Z}[H]$-module. This means that there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}[H]^r \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{Z}[H]^s \to H_1(\tilde{X}^\varphi; \mathbb{Z}) \to 0.$$

After possibly adding columns of zeros, we can assume that $r \ge s$. Define the *Alexander polynomial* of (X, φ) to be

$$\Delta_{X,\varphi} := \text{gcd of all } s \times s\text{-minors of } A.$$

We refer to [Fo54, Tu01, Hi12] for the proof of the classical fact that $\Delta_{X,\varphi}$ is well-defined up to multiplication by a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[H]$, i.e., up to multiplication by an element of the form ϵh, where $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $h \in H$.

If $\varphi\colon H_1(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to H := H_1(X;\mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$ is the canonical projection, then we write $\Delta_X := \Delta_{X,\varphi}$, and we refer to it as the *Alexander polynomial* Δ_X of X. Furthermore, if $\phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{Z})$, then we view the corresponding Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{X,\varphi}$ as an element in $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ under the canonical identification of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]$ with the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$.

3.2. **The one-variable Alexander polynomials.** In this section we relate the degrees of one-variable Alexander polynomials to the Thurston norm and to the Turaev complexity function.

In the following, given a non-zero polynomial $p(t) = \sum_{i=r}^{s} a_i t^i$ with $a_r \neq 0$ and $a_s \neq 0$, we write

$$\deg(p(t)) = s - r.$$

Note that the degree of a non-zero one-variable Alexander polynomial is well-defined.

The following proposition is well known, see e.g., [FKm06] for a proof.

Proposition 3.1. *Let N be a closed 3-manifold and let $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ be primitive. If $\Delta_{N,\phi} \neq 0$, then*

$$x_N(\phi) \geq \deg(\Delta_{N,\phi}) - 2.$$

Furthermore, equality holds if ϕ is a fibered class and if $N \neq S^1 \times S^2$.

We prove the following.

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a finite 2-complex with $\partial X = \emptyset$, and let be $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ primitive. If $\Delta_{X,\phi} \neq 0$, then*

$$\overline{t}_X(\phi) \geq \deg(\Delta_{X,\phi}) - 1.$$

Proof. Let Y be a finite 2-complex with $\partial Y = \emptyset$, and let $\psi \in H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ be primitive. If $\Delta_{Y,\phi} \neq 0$, then it follows from the Claim 2 on page 152 of [Tu02] that

$$t_Y(\psi) \geq \deg(\Delta_{Y,\psi}) - 1.$$

The desired inequality

$$\overline{t}_X(\phi) \geq \deg(\Delta_{X,\phi}) - 1$$

is an immediate consequence of this fact and the observation that the Alexander polynomial depends only on the fundamental group of X. □

3.3. **The Alexander norm.** Let X be a compact connected CW-complex. We write $H := H_1(X;\mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}$ and also $\Delta_X = \sum_{h\in H} a_h h$. Let $\phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hom}(H, \mathbb{Q})$. Following McMullen [Mc02], we define the *Alexander norm* of ϕ by

$$a_X(\phi) := \max\{\phi(h) - \phi(g) \,|\, a_g \neq 0 \text{ and } a_h \neq 0\}.$$

It is straightforward to see that a_X is indeed a norm on $H^1(X;\mathbb{Q})$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we use that fact that the Alexander polynomial and thus the Alexander norm depend only on the fundamental group of X. More precisely, if $f\colon Y \to X$ is a map of compact connected CW-complexes that induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups, then

$$f_*(\Delta_Y) = \Delta_X \in \mathbb{Z}[H_1(X;\mathbb{Z})/\text{torsion}],$$

and thus, for any $f \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X),\mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$a_Y(\phi \circ f^*) = a_X(\phi). \tag{1}$$

We begin with the following theorem due to McMullen [Mc02].

Theorem 3.3. *Let N be a 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary and with $b_1(N) \geq 2$. Then*

$$a_N(\phi) \leq x_N(\phi) \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q}).$$

Furthermore, equality holds for quasi-fibered classes.

Proof. Let N be a 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary and with $b_1(N) \geq 2$. McMullen [Mc02, Theorem 1.1] showed that

$$a_N(\phi) \leq x_N(\phi) \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$$

and that equality holds for all integral fibered classes. Since a_N and x_N are homogeneous, it follows immediately that equality also holds for all fibered classes and, in fact, for all quasi-fibered classes. □

The following analogous theorem, which says that the Alexander norm also gives lower bounds on the Thurston norm and the Turaev complexity function, is due to Turaev [Tu02].

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a finite 2-complex with $b_1(X) \geq 2$ and such that $\partial X = \emptyset$. Then*

$$a_X(\phi) \leq \overline{t}_X(\phi) \leq t_X(\phi) \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. Let Y be a finite 2-complex with $b_1(Y) \geq 2$ and such that $\partial Y = \emptyset$. Then by [Tu02, Theorem 3.1], we have

$$a_Y(\psi) \leq t_Y(\psi) \text{ for any } \psi \in H^1(Y;\mathbb{Q}).$$

The theorem now follows immediately from combining this result with the definition of $\overline{t}_X(\phi)$ and (1). □

4. Proofs

4.1. **The Thurston norm and the Turaev complexity function for closed 3-manifolds.** The combination of Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 2.1 gives us the following theorem showing that the Thurston norm of a closed 3-manifold need not agree with Turaev complexity function of its 2-skeleton.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $N \neq S^1 \times S^2$ be a closed 3-manifold and let $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ be a primitive fibered class. Then*

$$\overline{t}_N(\phi) = x_N(\phi) + 1.$$

We also prove:

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a finite 2-complex X with $\partial X = \emptyset$ such that $\overline{t}_X$ does not satisfy the triangle inequality, i.e., $\overline{t}_X$ is not a norm.*

Proof. Let N be a fibered 3-manifold with $b_1(N) = 2$. We write $X = N^{(2)}$ for some triangulation of N. As we mentioned in Section 2.1, by [Th86] there exists an open 2-dimensional cone $C \subset H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$ such that all classes in C are fibered and such that x_N is a linear function on C.

Given $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ we denote by

$$\operatorname{div}(\phi) := \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \,|\, \text{there exists } \psi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z}) \text{ with } \phi = k\psi\}$$

the divisibility of ϕ. It follows from Theorem 4.1 and the homogeneity of the Thurston norm and the Turaev complexity function that

$$\overline{t}_X(\phi) = x_N(\phi) + \operatorname{div}(\phi) \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Z}) \cap C. \tag{2}$$

We prove the following claim.

Claim. There exist $\alpha, \beta \in C$ with $\operatorname{div}(\alpha) + \operatorname{div}(\beta) < \operatorname{div}(\alpha + \beta)$.

Pick two primitive vectors $\phi, \psi \in C$ which are not colinear. Since ϕ and ψ lie in the cone C, it follows that any non-negative linear combination of ϕ and ψ also lies in C.

Select a coordinate system for $H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$, i.e., choose an identification of $H^1(N;\mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Since ϕ is primitive, we can assume that $\phi = (1,0)$. Since ψ is also primitive, we know that $\psi = (x,y)$ for some coprime x and y. Since ϕ and ψ are not colinear, $y \neq 0$. Choose a prime $p > 1 + |y|$. We consider $\alpha = (1,0)$ and $\beta = (px + (p-1), py)$. Note that p can not divide $px + p - 1 = p(x+1) - 1$. It follows that $\operatorname{div}(\beta) = \gcd(px + (p-1), py) \leq |y|$. Evidently $\operatorname{div}(\alpha) = 1$. Now

$$\operatorname{div}(\alpha + \beta) \;=\; \operatorname{div}(px + p, py) \;=\; \gcd(px + p, py) \;\geq\; p \;>\; 1 + |y| \;\geq\; \operatorname{div}(\alpha) + \operatorname{div}(\beta).$$

This concludes the proof of the claim.

If we combine the claim and the linearity of x_N on C with equality (2), then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{t}_X(\alpha+\beta) = x_N(\alpha+\beta) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha+\beta) &= x_N(\alpha) + x_N(\beta) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha+\beta)\\ &> x_N(\alpha) + \operatorname{div}(\alpha) + x_N(\beta) + \operatorname{div}(\beta)\\ &= \overline{t}_X(\alpha) + \overline{t}_X(\beta).\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that $\overline{t}_X$ does not satisfy the triangle inequality. □

4.2. **The Alexander norm of finite covers of 3-manifolds.** We begin with the following theorem. We state it in slightly greater generality than we actually need, since the result has independent interest.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $N \neq S^1 \times D^2$ be an aspherical 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary. If N is neither a Nil-manifold nor a Sol-manifold, there exists a finite cover $p\colon \tilde{N} \to N$ such that $b_1(\tilde{N}) \geq 2$ and such that*

$$a_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) = x_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q}).$$

The proof of the theorem will require the remainder of Section 4.2. The theorem was proved for graph manifolds by Nagel [Na14]. We will therefore restrict ourselves to the case of manifolds that are not (closed) graph manifolds. The main ingredient in our proof of Theorem 4.3 will be the following theorem, a consequence of the seminal work of Agol [Ag08, Ag13], Wise [Wi09, Wi12a, Wi12b], Przytycki-Wise [PW14, PW12] and Liu [Li13]. We summarize the main points of the proof for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 4.4. *Let N be an irreducible 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary that is not a closed graph manifold. Then there exists a finite cover $p\colon \tilde{N} \to N$ such that, for any $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$, the pull-back $p^*\phi$ is quasi-fibered.*

Proof. Let N be an irreducible 3-manifold that is not a closed graph manifold. It follows from the work of Agol [Ag13], Wise [Wi09, Wi12a, Wi12b], Przytycki-Wise [PW14, PW12] and Liu [Li13] that $\pi_1(N)$ is virtually RFRS, i.e., $\pi_1(N)$ admits a finite index subgroup which is RFRS. The precise definition of RFRS, references for which can be found in [AFW15], is not of concern to us. What matters is that Agol [Ag08, Theorem 5.1] (see also [FKt14, Theorem 5.1]) showed that if ψ lies in $H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$ and if N is an irreducible 3-manifold such that $\pi_1(N)$ is virtually RFRS, then there exists a finite cover $p\colon \hat{N} \to N$ such that $p^*\psi$ lies in the closure of a fibered cone of $\hat{N}$.

By picking one class in each cone of the Thurston norm ball of N and iteratively applying Agol's theorem, one can easily show that there exists a finite cover $p\colon \tilde{N} \to N$ such that for any $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$ the pull-back $p^*\phi$ lies in the closure of a fibered cone of $\tilde{N}$. We refer to [FV15, Corollary 5.2] for details. □

If N is a graph manifold with nonempty boundary, then the conclusion of Theorem 4.4 also follows from facts that are more classical. This argument is not used anywhere

else in the paper, but since it is perhaps of independent interest we give a very quick sketch of the argument.

Proof of Theorem 4.4 if N is a graph manifold. Let N be a graph manifold with boundary. It follows from Wang–Yu [WY97, Theorem 0.1] and classical arguments, see e.g., [AF13, Section 4.3.4.3] and [He87] that there exists a finite cover $\tilde{N}$ of N that is fibered and such that if $\{N_v\}_{v\in V}$ denotes the set of JSJ components of $\tilde{N}$, then each N_v is of the form $S^1 \times \Sigma_v$ for some surface Σ_v.

For each $v \in V$ we write $t_v = S^1 \times P_v$, where $P_v \in \Sigma_v$ is a point. It follows from [EN85, Theorem 4.2] that a class $\phi \in H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{Q})$ is fibered if and only if $\phi(t_v) \neq 0$ for all $v \in V$. Since $\tilde{N}$ is fibered it now follows that all classes in $H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{Q})$ outside of finitely many hyperplanes are fibered. Hence all classes in $H^1(\tilde{N}; \mathbb{Q})$ are quasi-fibered. □

We can now move on to the proof of Theorem 4.3. Note that arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3 were also used in [FV15, FV14].

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let $N \neq S^1 \times D^2$ be an irreducible 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary that is not a closed graph manifold. Since we assumed that $N \neq S^1 \times D^2$, it now follows from Agol's Theorem [Ag13] and classical 3-manifold topology that N has a finite cover with b_1 at least two. (We refer to [AFW15] for details.) We can therefore assume that we already have $b_1(N) \geq 2$.

By Theorem 4.4 there exists a finite cover $p\colon \tilde{N} \to N$ such that for any $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Q})$, the pull-back $p^*\phi$ is quasi-fibered. Note that Betti numbers never decrease by going to finite covers, i.e., we have $b_1(\tilde{N}) \geq b_1(N) \geq 2$. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$a_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) = x_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Q}).$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Before we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2 we prove the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *If N is the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere, then any class $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$ is dual to a surface Σ of minimal complexity such that all components of Σ have nonempty boundary.*

Proof. Let N be the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere. It follows from a Mayer–Vietoris argument that the map $H_1(\partial N; \mathbb{Q}) \to H_1(N; \mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. It follows from Poincaré duality and the Universal Coefficient Theorem that the boundary map $\partial\colon H_2(N, \partial N; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(\partial N; \mathbb{Z})$ has finite kernel. Since $H_2(N, \partial N; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(N; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free it follows that the boundary map $\partial\colon H_2(N, \partial N; \mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(\partial N; \mathbb{Z})$ is in fact injective. In particular this implies that closed surfaces represent the trivial homology class in $(N, \partial N)$. Now let $\phi \in H^1(N; \mathbb{Z})$, and let Σ be a properly embedded minimal-complexity surface dual to ϕ. By the above observation, the closed components of Σ are null-homologous. It follows that

the union of the components of Σ with non-trivial boundary represents the same homology as Σ. Since removing components can never increase the complexity, we have shown that ϕ is dual to a surface Σ of minimal complexity such that all components of Σ have nonempty boundary. □

In the previous sections we collected all the tools that now allow us to finally complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2. *Let N be the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere. If N is irreducible, then for any $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$ we have*

$$\overline{t}_N(\phi) = x_N(\phi).$$

Proof. It remains to prove that $\overline{t}_N(\phi) \geq x_N(\phi)$. Let N be the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere. Suppose that N is irreducible. Let $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$. It suffices to show that if Y is a finite 2-complex Y with $\partial Y = \emptyset$ and if $f\colon \pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(N)$ is an isomorphism, then

$$t_Y(\phi \circ f) \geq x_N(\phi).$$

So let Y and f be as above. By a slight abuse of notation we denote $\phi \circ f\colon \pi_1(Y) \to \mathbb{Q}$ by ϕ as well.

By Theorem 4.3 there exists a finite cover $p\colon \tilde{N} \to N$ such that $b_1(\tilde{N}) \geq 2$ and such that

$$a_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) = x_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi).$$

We write $\pi = \pi_1(N)$ and $\tilde{\pi} := \pi_1(\tilde{N})$, and we denote by $p\colon \tilde{Y} \to Y$ the finite cover corresponding to $f^{-1}(\tilde{\pi})$. Note that $\tilde{Y}$ is also a finite 2-complex with $\partial\tilde{Y} = \emptyset$. It follows immediately from the definitions that

$$x_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) \leq [\pi : \tilde{\pi}] \cdot x_N(\phi) \text{ and } t_{\tilde{Y}}(p^*\phi) \leq [\pi : \tilde{\pi}] \cdot t_Y(\phi).$$

In fact, Gabai [Ga83, Corollary 6.13] showed that the above is an equality for the Thurston norm, i.e., we have the equality:

$$x_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) = [\pi : \tilde{\pi}] \cdot x_N(\phi).$$

Combining the above results with Theorem 3.4, we see that

$$[\pi : \tilde{\pi}] \cdot t_Y(\phi) \geq t_{\tilde{Y}}(p^*\phi) \geq a_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) = x_{\tilde{N}}(p^*\phi) = [\pi : \tilde{\pi}] \cdot x_N(\phi).$$

This concludes the proof the theorem. □

4.4. **Fundamental group complexity.** Let X be a finite 2-complex with $\partial X = \emptyset$, and $\phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(X),\mathbb{Z})$. In [Tu02], Turaev describes a method by which we can compute $t_X(\phi)$ using cocycles. We start by orienting edges (i.e., open 1-cells) of X, and then select a $\mathbb{Z}$-valued cellular cocycle k on X representing ϕ. We let

$$|k| = \sum_e (n_e/2 - 1)|k(e)|,$$

where e ranges over all edges in X, $k(e) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the value of k on e, and n_e is the number of 2-cells adjacent to e, counted with multiplicity. (Note that $n_e \geq 2$ since $\partial X = \emptyset$.) Turaev [Tu02, Section 1.6] proves that $t_X(\phi)$ is the minimum value of $|k|$ as k ranges over all cellular cocycles representing ϕ.

When the 0-skeleton of X consists of a single vertex, the 2-complex determines a group presentation P for $\pi_1(X)$, and hence $|k|$ can be defined on the level of presentations:

Given a finite presentation $P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m \mid r_1, \ldots, r_n \rangle$, following Turaev [Tu02], we denote by $\sharp(x_i)$ the number of appearances of $x_i^{\pm 1}$ in the words $r_1, \ldots, r_n$. We say that P is a *good presentation* if each $\sharp(x_i) \geq 2$. We are interested in good presentations, since it is straightforward to see that the canonical 2-complex corresponding to a good presentation has empty boundary. Also note that any finitely presented group admits a good presentation. Indeed, if $\#(x_i) = 1$, then we can eliminate x_i using a Tietze move. If $\#(x_i) = 0$, then we can add a trivial relator $x_i x_i^{-1}$.

Now let $P = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_m \mid r_1, \ldots, r_n \rangle$ be a good presentation for a group π, and let ϕ be a homomorphism $\phi\colon \pi \to \mathbb{Z}$. We define

$$t_P(\phi) = \sum_i (\sharp(x_i)/2 - 1)\, |\phi(x_i)|.$$

Furthermore we define $\bar{t}_\pi(\phi)$ to be the minimum of $t_P(\phi)$ as P ranges over all good presentations of π. We extend the definition in the usual way for rational cohomology classes $\phi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be a finite 2-complex with $\partial X = \emptyset$ and $\phi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Q})$. We write $\pi = \pi_1(X)$. Then*

$$\overline{t}_X(\phi) \leq \bar{t}_\pi(\phi).$$

Proof. Given a good presentation P for π, we construct the canonical finite 2-complex Y with $\pi_1(Y) \cong \pi$. Let k be the unique 1-cocycle representing ϕ. A straightforward argument shows that $\overline{t}_X(\phi) \leq |k| = t_P(\phi)$, see also [Tu02, Section 1.8]. Since this is true for any good presentation of $\pi_1(X)$, we have $\overline{t}_X(\phi) \leq \overline{t}_\pi(\phi)$. □

Example 4.7. *Let π the fundamental group of the exterior of a knot K in the 3-sphere. Let ϕ be the abelianization homomorphism, mapping a meridian to 1. If P is a Wirtinger presentation corresponding to a diagram for K, then one sees easily that $t_P(\phi)$ is the number of crossings of the diagram.*

It is usually possible to find presentations yielding a smaller value $t_P(\phi)$. Let Σ be a Seifert surface for K having minimal genus g. By splitting π along $\pi_1(\Sigma)$, we obtain an HNN-decomposition for π of the form

$$\langle A, x \mid \mu(b) = xbx^{-1} \text{ for all } b \in \pi_1(\Sigma) \rangle,$$

where A is the fundamental group of the knot exterior split along Σ, and $\mu\colon \pi_1(\Sigma) \to A$ is injective. For such a presentation P, we have $t_P(\phi) = 2g - 1$. It follows by the next result that this value is the smallest possible; i.e., $\bar{t}_\pi(\phi) = 2g - 1$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let N be the exterior of a link in a rational homology sphere with group π. If N is irreducible, then for any $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\Delta_{N,\phi} \neq 0$, we have*

$$\overline{t}_N(\phi) = \bar{t}_\pi(\phi) = x_N(\phi).$$

Remark. In [Tu02], Turaev gives several examples of knot groups and presentations of minimal complexity. He states that it would be interesting to find other examples. Theorem 4.8 shows how to construct presentations of minimal complexity for any knot in a rational homology sphere.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove that $\bar{t}_\pi(\phi) \leq x_N(\phi)$, for any $\phi \in H^1(N;\mathbb{Q})$. By the homogeneity of the Turaev function and the Thurston norm we may assume that ϕ is an integral primitive cohomology class.

Consider a Thurston norm-minimizing surface $\Sigma \subset N$ for ϕ. Our assumption that $\Delta_{N,\phi}$ is not identically zero ensures that the first Betti number of $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi)$ is finite. By a short argument in the beginning of the proof of [Mc02, Proposition 6.1], the surface Σ is connected. Its boundary is nonempty by Lemma 4.5. Splitting π along $\pi_1(\Sigma)$, as above, we obtain a presentation P with complexity $2g-1$, where g is the genus of Σ. Since $t_N(\phi) = 2g-1$, we are done. □

We conclude this section with the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.9. *Let X be a finite 2-complex with $\partial X = \emptyset$. Then*

$$\overline{t}_X(\phi) = \bar{t}_{\pi_1(X)}(\phi) \text{ for any } \phi \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Q}).$$

Note that an affirmative answer to this question together with Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 would show that the conclusion of Theorem 4.8 holds for any irreducible link complement N, without any assumptions on ϕ.

5. Disconnected minimal dual graphs

It is natural to ask whether one can always realize the Turaev norm of a primitive cohomology class by a connected graph. In this final section of the paper we will see that this is not the case. More precisely, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Given any n there exists a 2-complex X with $\partial X = \emptyset$ and a primitive class $\phi \in H^1(\pi;\mathbb{Z})$ such that for any 2-complex Y with $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(X)$ and with $\partial Y = \emptyset$ the following holds: any graph Γ in Y that represents ϕ with $\overline{t}_X(\phi) = \chi_-(\Gamma)$ has at least n components.*

Proof. We consider the good presentation

$$P = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n, x_1, \dots, x_n \,|\, [x_i, a_i], i = 1, \dots, n \rangle$$

and we denote by X the corresponding 2-complex, which is just the join of n tori $T_1, \dots, T_n$. Clearly $\partial X = \emptyset$.

We write $\pi = \pi_1(X)$. The group π is the free product of n free abelian groups $\langle a_i, x_i \,|\, [a_i, x_i] \rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ of rank two. We consider the epimorphism $\phi\colon \pi \to \mathbb{Z}$

that is defined by $\phi(a_i) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\phi(x_i) = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. It is clear that on each torus T_i there exists a circle, disjoint from the gluing point, such that the union of these circles is dual to ϕ. We thus see that $\overline{t}_X(\phi) = 0$.

Now let Y be a 2-complex with $\pi_1(Y) = \pi$ and with $\partial Y = \emptyset$. Let Γ be a graph on Y which is dual to ϕ with $\chi_-(\Gamma) = 0$. We will show that Γ has at least n components. Note that $\chi_-(\Gamma) = 0$ implies that any component of Γ is either a point or a circle. We denote by m the number of components of Γ that are circles. We will see that $m \geq n$.

We start out with the following claim.

Claim. The module $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}])$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]^{n-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]/(t-1)$.

We first note that $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]) = H_1(X; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}])$. A straightforward application of Fox calculus, see [Fo53], shows that $H_1(X; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]^{n-1} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]/(t-1)$. This concludes the proof of the claim.

Now we write $W = Y \setminus \Gamma \times (-1, 1)$. The usual Meyer–Vietoris sequence with $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$-coefficients corresponding to $Y = W \cup \Gamma \times [-1, 1]$ gives rise to the exact sequence

$$\dots \to H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]) \xrightarrow{\iota_- - t\iota_+} H_1(W; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]) \to H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]) \to H_0(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]) \to \dots$$

Note that ϕ vanishes on Γ and W. It follows that $H_*(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}])$ and $H_*(W; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}])$ are free $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$-modules. Furthermore, by the above discussion of Γ we know that $H_1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]^m$. It follows immediately from the above exact sequence and the classification of modules over PIDs that the torsion submodule of $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}])$ is generated by m elements.

On the other hand, we had just seen that the torsion submodule of $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}])$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]/(t-1)$. It follows from the classification of modules over the PID $\mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$ that the minimal number of generators of the torsion submodule of $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}])$ is n. Putting everything together we deduce that $m \geq n$. □

References

[Ag08] I. Agol, *Criteria for virtual fibering*, J. Topology 1 (2008), 269–284.

[Ag13] I. Agol, *The virtual Haken conjecture*, with an appendix by I. Agol, D. Groves and J. Manning, Documenta Math. 18 (2013), 1045–1087.

[AF13] M. Aschenbrenner and S. Friedl, 3-*manifold groups are virtually residually p*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 225, Number 1058 (2013)

[AFW15] M. Aschenbrenner, S. Friedl and H. Wilton, 3-*manifold groups*, EMS lecture notes in mathematics (2015)

[EN85] D. Eisenbud and W. Neumann, *Three-dimensional link theory and invariants of plane curve singularities*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 110. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1985.

[Fo53] R. H. Fox, *Free differential calculus. I: Derivation in the free group ring*, Ann. Math. 57 (1953), 547–560.

[Fo54] R. H. Fox, *Free differential calculus. II: The isomorphism problem of groups*, Ann. Math. 59 (1954), 196–210.

[FKm06] S. Friedl and T. Kim, *Thurston norm, fibered manifolds and twisted Alexander polynomials*, Topology 45 (2006), 929-953.
[FKt14] S. Friedl and T. Kitayama, *The virtual fibering theorem for* 3*-manifolds*, L'Enseignement Mathématique 60 (2014), no. 1, 79–107.
[FSW13] S. Friedl, D. Silver and S. Williams, *Splittings of knot groups*, Math. Annalen 362 (2014), no. 1–2, 401–424.
[FV14] S. Friedl and S. Vidussi, *Minimal Genus on 4-manifolds with a Free Circle Action*, Adv. Math. 250 (2014), 570-587.
[FV15] S. Friedl and S. Vidussi, *The Thurston norm and twisted Alexander polynomials*, Journal für Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 707 (2015), 87–102.
[Ga83] D. Gabai, *Foliations and the topology of* 3*-manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry 18 (1983), no. 3, 445–503.
[Ga87] D. Gabai, *Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. III*, J. Differential Geom. 26 (1987), no. 3, 479–536.
[He87] J. Hempel, *Residual finiteness for* 3*-manifolds*, Combinatorial group theory and topology (Alta, Utah, 1984), pp. 379–396, Ann. of Math. Stud., 111, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
[Hi12] J. Hillman, *Algebraic invariants of links*, Series on Knots and Everything, 32, second edition. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2012.
[Kr99] P. Kronheimer, *Minimal genus in* $S^1 \times M^3$, Invent. Math. 135, no. 1 (1999), 45–61.
[Li13] Y. Liu, *Virtual cubulation of nonpositively curved graph manifolds*, J. of Topology (2013) 6 (4), 793–822.
[Mc02] C. T. McMullen, *The Alexander polynomial of a 3–manifold and the Thurston norm on cohomology*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 35 (2002), 153–171.
[Na14] M. Nagel, *Minimal genus in circle bundles over 3-manifolds*, preprint (2014).
[PW12] P. Przytycki and D. Wise, *Mixed* 3*-manifolds are virtually special*, preprint (2012).
[PW14] P. Przytycki and D. Wise, *Graph manifolds with boundary are virtually special*, J. Topology 7 (2014), 419-435.
[Th86] W. P. Thurston, *A norm for the homology of* 3*-manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 339 (1986), 99–130.
[Ti70] D. Tischler, *On fibering certain foliated manifolds over* S^1, Topology 9 (1970), 153–154.
[Tu01] V. Turaev, *Introduction to combinatorial torsions*, Birkhäuser, Basel, (2001)
[Tu02] V. Turaev, *A norm for the cohomology of 2-complexes*, Alg. Geom. Top. 2 (2002), 137–155.
[WY97] S. Wang and F. Yu, *Graph manifolds with non-empty boundary are covered by surface bundles*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 122 (1997), no. 3, 447–455.
[Wi09] D. Wise, *The structure of groups with a quasi-convex hierarchy*, Electronic Res. Ann. Math. Sci 16 (2009), 44–55.
[Wi12a] D. Wise, *The structure of groups with a quasi-convex hierarchy*, 189 pages, preprint (2012), downloaded on October 29, 2012 from
`http://www.math.mcgill.ca/wise/papers.html`
[Wi12b] D. Wise, *From riches to RAAGs:* 3*-manifolds, right–angled Artin groups, and cubical geometry*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 2012.

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Regensburg, Germany
E-mail address: sfriedl@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Alabama
E-mail address: silver@southalabama.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Alabama
E-mail address: swilliam@southalabama.edu